

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,113	01/07/2002	Ulrich Braun	VOSS1170	5907	
7	590 10/25/2002				
Lisa A Haile			EXAMINER		
Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich Suite 1600			FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
4365 Executive	e Drive				
San Diego, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
		Application No.	A	oplicant(s)	V
	Office Action Summary	09/890,113	BRAUN, ULRICH		
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Ai	t Unit	
·	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Robert M. Fetsuga		51	
A SHOTHE I - External for after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	Y IS SET TO EXP	RE 3 MONTH(S) If er, may a reply be timely for num of thirty (30) days will X (6) MONTHS from the r	FROM led be considered timely.	
- Any n	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e, cause the application to I	Decome ARANDONED (3)	5 II S C & 133\	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	January 2002 .			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-fin	al.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for for Ex parte Quayle, 1	mal matters, prose 935 C.D. 11, 453	cution as to the a O.G. 213.	merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration	ı .		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			-	
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.		
	on Papers				
	he specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)⊠ Т	he drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> is/are:				
44) 🗔 😙	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[] 1	he proposed drawing correction filed on		b)☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.	
12)□ ⊤	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		n.		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).	
	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	this National Sta	ige
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			a provisional ac	plication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	has been receive	d.	,
Attachment(
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗍 N	sterview Summary (PTC otice of Informal Paten ther:	0-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-15	. 52)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.		tion Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/890,113
Art Unit: 3751

1. The drawings are objected to because cross-hatching is apparently missing from Figs. 1 and 2. Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office action. Any proposal by applicant for amendment of the drawings to cure defects must consist of two parts:

- a) A separate letter to the draftsperson in accordance with MPEP 608.02(r); and
- b) A print or pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink in accordance with MPEP $608.02\,(v)$.

IMPORTANT NOTE: The filing of new formal drawings to correct the noted defect may be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner, but the print or pen-and-ink sketch with proposed corrections shown in red ink is required in response to this Office action, and may not be deferred.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 7, line 4, "11" apparently should be --18--, and line 13, "16" apparently should be --13--.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 3-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must refer to other claims in the alternative only (claim 3), and must not depend from any other multiple dependent claim (claims 4-8).

Art Unit: 3751

See MPEP \S 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "device" set forth in claim 1 (lns. 2 and 4), "feature" set forth in claim 2, and the "method" set forth in claims 9 and 10, could not be found in the specification.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The invention referred to in the claims is insufficiently disclosed to enable one skilled in the art to understand the structure of, and cooperation between, the elements which comprise same. For example, how cover C functions to control a urine outlet is neither disclosed nor evident to the examiner. The cover appears to seal blocking hole 12 in both positions thereof via seal B and plug 11. Moreover, what is meant by plugs 11 and 18 "snapping" is not evident to the examiner.

Art Unit: 3751

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell.

The Campbell reference discloses a device comprising: a device for opening a urine outlet 7; a device for closing a urine outlet 7; and a feature 20, as claimed.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Kirby, Jr. and Strachan, Jr. references disclose various devices having features in common with the instant invention.

- 9. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 in responding to this Office action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 703/308-1506 who can be most easily reached Tuesday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,113

Art Unit: 3751

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751 Page 5